arXiv:1212.4124v3 [hep-th] 10 Jan 2013

Conical defects and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spin holography

Yasuaki Hikida[*]

Department of Physics, and Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences,
Keio University, Hiyoshi, Yokohama 223-8521, Japan

Abstract

We study conical geometry with the maximal number of fermionic symmetry in the higher spin supergravity described by $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory. It was proposed that a three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spin supergravity is holographically dual to the $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model. Based one the duality, we find one-to-one correspondence between conical geometries and primary states in the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ model. In particular, we construct geometric solutions corresponding to primary states in the RR-sector. The proposal is checked by the comparison of a few charges and by the relation between null vectors and higher spin symmetry. The situation is different from the bosonic case, where only a part of states in the dual CFT are identified with the conical geometry and the others are with perturbative states or their bound states with the geometry.

---

[*] E-mail: hikida@phys-h.keio.ac.jp

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Conical defects in higher spin supergravity **4**

2.1 Conical defects 4

2.2 Killing spinor equations 5

3 Smooth geometry with maximal supersymmetry **9**

3.1 Killing spinors and holonomy matrix 9

3.2 Asymptotically AdS geometry 11

4 Relation to $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model **14**

4.1 Relation to primary states 14

4.2 W-algebra charges 16

4.3 Null vectors v.s. higher spin symmetry 19

5 Conclusion and discussions **22**

A sl$(N+1|N)$ Lie superalgebra **23**

A.1 Highest weight representations 24

A.2 Generators 26

1 Introduction

Higher spin gauge theories include gauge fields with spin two and higher, and they can be thought as a kind of extensions of gravity theory with spin two gauge field. They attract a lot of attention since it is believed that they are related to the tensionless limit of superstring theory. Furthermore, higher spin gauge theories on anti-de Sitter (AdS) background are proposed to be dual to vector-like conformal models with one-less dimensions. In [1, 2] it was proposed that a four dimensional higher spin gauge theory developed by Vasiliev [3] is dual to three dimensional O(N) vector model. There is also a proposal in lower dimensions that a three dimensional higher spin gauge theory in [4] is dual to a large N minimal model [5, 6]. In lower dimensions, there is a possibility that we can understand the duality quite deeply. This is because three dimensional gravity theory is known to be topological while two dimensional conformal field theory (CFT) is much restricted due to the large amount of symmetry. In this paper, we investigate an aspect of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric version of the duality with lower dimensions in [7]. Concretely, we study maximally supersymmetric conical defect (surplus) geometry in higher spin supergravity, and compare it to the primary states in the dual CFT.

The gravity side of the duality of [5] is given by a bosonic truncation of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spin supergravity proposed by Prokushkin and Vasiliev in [4]. The gravity theory consists of gauge fields with higher spins $s = 2, 3, \ldots$ and massive scalar fields with mass $M^2 = -1 + \lambda^2$. The gauge sector can be described by Chern-Simons theory based on higher spin algebra hs$[\lambda]$, which can be truncated to sl(N) at $\lambda = \pm N$. The asymptotic symmetry near the boundary of AdS is found to be a large N limit of higher spin W_N algebra called as $W_\infty[\lambda]$ [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. On the other hand, the CFT side is the minimal model with respect to the W_N algebra, which can be described by the coset

$$\frac{\text{su}(N)_k \oplus \text{su}(N)_1}{\text{su}(N)_{k+1}} \tag{1.1}$$

with the central charge

$$c = (N-1)\left(1 - \frac{N(N+1)}{(N+k)(N+k+1)}\right) . \tag{1.2}$$

Original proposal is that the gravity theory is dual the 't Hooft limit of the CFT, where large N, k limit is taken with keeping the 't Hooft parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{N}{k+N} \tag{1.3}$$

finite. Then the parameter is identified with the one in the algebra hs$[\lambda]$ and the mass of the dual scalars. There are many works on this duality, and in particular, the agreement of the spectrum has been shown in [13]. Moreover, holography involving minimal model with so(N) instead of su(N) has been proposed in [14, 15] and further refined in [16]. Supersymmetric extensions have been done in [7] for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ holography and [17] for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ holography.

Classical geometry in higher spin gravity has been studied as well. A higher spin black hole was constructed in [18] (see [19] and references therein), and conical defects are examined in [20]. There is large amount of gauge symmetry in higher spin gravity, and notions like horizon and singularity are not gauge invariant. In particular, it was shown that conical defects with the trivial holonomy in sl(N) $\oplus$ sl(N) Chern-Simons theory are mapped by gauge transformation into geometry without any conical singularity. It was claimed in [20] and later refined in [21] that the smooth geometry is dual to a primary state in a limit of the W_N minimal model (1.1). Other states in the minimal model correspond to perturbative scalar fields in the gravity theory or their bound states with the conical geometry. The central charge of the model satisfies $c \leq N - 1$, but the limit is given by an analytic continuation as $c \to \infty$ with finite N. The limit may be called as "semi-classical" limit. A justification of the analytic continuation is given in [12].

The gravity theory for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spin holography by [7] is the full $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spin supergravity by Prokushkin and Vasiliev [4]. This theory includes fermionic higher spin gauge fields in addition to bosonic higher spin gauge fields, and they are described by the Chern-Simons theory with shs[λ] $\oplus$ shs[λ] superalgebra, where shs[λ] reduces to sl($N+1|N$) for $\lambda = N+1$. The theory also includes massive scalars and fermions whose masses are organized by the parameter λ. The asymptotic symmetry is found to be a large N limit of $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ super W_{N+1} algebra, which may be called as $SW_\infty[\lambda]$ [7, 22, 23, 24, 25]. This dual CFT is proposed to be the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model [26, 27]

$$\frac{\mathrm{su}(N+1)_k \oplus \mathrm{so}(2N)_1}{\mathrm{su}(N)_{k+1} \oplus \mathrm{u}(1)_{N(N+1)(N+k+1)}} \tag{1.4}$$

with the central charge

$$c = \frac{3Nk}{k+N+1}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

Original proposal involves the 't Hooft limit, where $N, k \to \infty$ with finite (1.3). It is known that the theory is the minimal model with respect to the $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ super W_{N+1} algebra [28]. The spectrum of the supergravity has been reproduced by the 't Hooft limit of the dual CFT [17, 29]. Boundary correlation functions are studied as well in [30, 31]. Recently, some classical geometry in the higher spin supergravity by sl($N+1|N$) $\oplus$ sl($N+1|N$) Chern-Simons theory has been investigated in [32, 33, 34]. In particular, conical defects in the Chern-Simons theory have been constructed in [32, 33]. In this paper, we study the properties of the conical defects in more detail, and we interpret them in terms of the dual CFT.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows; In the next section, we find out the conical defects in sl($N+1|N$) $\oplus$ sl($N+1|N$) Chern-Simons theory, which preserve the maximal number of fermionic higher spin symmetry. The conical geometry is classified by a SL($N+1|N$) holonomy matrix with eigenvalues parametrized by integer numbers, and it can be mapped to a smooth geometry by a gauge transformation. In section 3, we extend the class of smooth geometry with maximal supersymmetry such that the interpretation in the dual CFT is possible. In section 4 we identify the smooth geometry with each primary state in the $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ minimal model at large c limit but with finite N. We allow both anti-periodic and periodic boundary conditions for the Killing spinors along the spacial cycle of conical geometry, and each case corresponds to NSNS-sector or RR-sector of the dual CFT. As a check we compare some charges of the W-algebra. Furthermore, we map the null vectors of the minimal model to the higher spin symmetry of the conical geometry by following the recent argument in [21]. Notice that every primary states in the semi-classical limit of the minimal model correspond to non-perturbative objects in the higher spin supergravity contrary to the bosonic case. This fact was already pointed out in [25], and it was also argued that the $\mathcal{N}=2$ extended supersymmetry relates non-perturbative and perturbative objects in the bosonic sector of gravity theory. Conclusion and discussions are given in section 5. In appendix A, we summarize some useful formulas on sl($N+1|N$) Lie superalgebra.

Note added

While completing this work, the revised version of [33] appeared in the arXiv. The authors included study on fermionic symmetry of conical defects for higher spin supergravity described by $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons theory with $N \geq 3$, while they dealt with only $N = 2$ case in the previous version. There is overlap with the section 2 of this paper.

2 Conical defects in higher spin supergravity

In [20] conical defects in a higher spin theory described by $\mathrm{sl}(N) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N)$ Chern-Simons theory have been studied and applied to the duality proposed in [5]. The arguments are refined in [21]. In this section, we would like to investigate on the conical defect geometry in a higher spin supergravity described by $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory. We will apply the result to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ duality by [7] in later sections.

2.1 Conical defects

We would like to consider $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory. Its action is given by

$$S = S_{\mathrm{CS}}[A] - S_{\mathrm{CS}}[\tilde{A}] \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$S_{\mathrm{CS}}[A] = \frac{\hat{k}}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{str} \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right) . \tag{2.2}$$

Here the gauge fields take values in $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ Lie superalgebra.[1] The action is invariant under the following gauge transformation as

$$\delta A = d\lambda + [A, \lambda] \,, \qquad \delta \tilde{A} = d\tilde{\lambda} + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{\lambda}] \,. \tag{2.3}$$

We define generalized dreibein and spin connection as

$$e = \frac{\ell}{2}(A - \tilde{A}) \,, \qquad \omega = \frac{1}{2}(A + \tilde{A}) \,. \tag{2.4}$$

We identify a $\mathrm{sl}(2)$ subsector $\{L_0, L_{\pm 1}\}$ of $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ as a gravitational sector. Then, the Chern-Simons level $\hat{k}$, AdS radius ℓ and Newton's constant G are related as

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\ell}{8G\epsilon_N} \,, \qquad \epsilon_N = \mathrm{str}\,(L_0 L_0) \,. \tag{2.5}$$

---

[1] Some basics on the superlagebra including the definition of "str" may be found in appendix A. A review on superalgebras is given by [35].

With this notation the metric is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_N} \mathrm{str}\,(e_\mu e_\nu)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

In this paper, we only use the superprincipal embedding of osp$(1|2)$ into sl$(N+1|N)$, and in that case

$$\epsilon_N = \frac{N(N+1)}{4}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

See appendix A (and also [35]) for the details of the embedding.

We would like to study locally AdS$_3$ space with a conical singularity in a certain chosen gauge. First we consider the ansatz for the gauge field configuration as [20, 32]

$$A = b^{-1} a_+ b dx^+ + L_0 d\rho\,, \qquad \tilde{A} = -b a_- b^{-1} dx^- - L_0 d\rho\,. \tag{2.8}$$

The radial coordinate is ρ and the light-like coordinates are $x^\pm = \phi \pm t$. Here $b = \exp(\rho L_0)$ and

$$a_+ = \sum_{k=1}^{N} B_k(a_k, b_k) + \sum_{\bar{k}=N+2}^{2N} B_{\bar{k}}(a_{\bar{k}}, b_{\bar{k}})\,, \qquad a_- = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} B_k(c_k, d_k) - \sum_{\bar{k}=N+2}^{2N} B_{\bar{k}}(c_{\bar{k}}, d_{\bar{k}}) \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$[B_K(x,y)]_{IJ} = x \delta_{I,K} \delta_{J,K+1} - y \delta_{I,K+1} \delta_{J,K}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

We use the Capital letters for $K, I, L = 1, 2, \ldots, 2N+1$, small letters for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N+1$ and barred ones for $\bar{k} = N+2, N+2, \ldots, 2N+1$. The arguments a_L, b_L, c_L, d_L are constant. Assuming the static geometry with $g_{++} = g_{--}$ and the locally AdS metric, we have to set $a_L = b_L = c_L = d_L$ up to similarity transformation. The metric is now

$$\ell^{-2} ds^2 = d\rho^2 - (e^\rho + M_N e^{-\rho})^2 dt^2 + (e^\rho - M_N e^{-\rho})^2 d\phi^2 \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$M_N = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_N} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k^2 - \sum_{\bar{k}=N+2}^{2N} a_{\bar{k}}^2 \right)\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Here we redefine $\rho \to \rho + \ln \sqrt{M_N}$. The geometry has a conical singularity at $e^{2\rho_0} = M_N$ with deficit angle $2\pi(1 - 2\sqrt{M_N})$.

2.2 Killing spinor equations

We consider the gauge field configuration corresponding to a conical defect, where in particular the fermionic components are set to be zero. The fermionic higher spin symmetry is generated by the gauge transformation (2.3) which does not generate any non-zero fermionic components. This condition is equivalent to the Killing spinor equation

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \epsilon \equiv \partial_\mu \epsilon + [A_\mu, \epsilon] = 0\,, \tag{2.13}$$

where ϵ is an odd element of sl$(N+1|N)$ superalgebra. We assign the supermatrices a_+, a_- in (2.8) are diagonalizable, then the ansatz (2.9) is restricted to the form of

$$a_+ = \sum_{l=1}^{M} B_{2l-1}(a_{2l-1}, a_{2l-1}) + \sum_{\bar{l}=M+1}^{2M} B_{2\bar{l}}(a_{2\bar{l}}, a_{2\bar{l}}) \tag{2.14}$$

for $N = 2M$ with $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$a_+ = \sum_{l=1}^{M} B_{2l-1}(a_{2l-1}, a_{2l-1}) + \sum_{\bar{l}=M+1}^{2M-1} B_{2\bar{l}-1}(a_{2\bar{l}-1}, a_{2\bar{l}-1}) \tag{2.15}$$

for $N = 2M - 1$. With the help of symmetry, we set $a_1 \geq a_3 \geq \cdots \geq a_{2M-1}$, and $a_{2M+2} \geq a_{2M+4} \geq \cdots \geq a_{4M}$ for $N = 2M$ and $a_{2M+1} \geq a_{2M+3} \geq \cdots \geq a_{4M-3}$ for $N = 2M - 1$.

The generators of sl$(N+1|N)$ Lie superalgebra can be represented in terms of supermatrix, see appendix A. Let us write basic $(2N+1)\times(2N+1)$ supermatrices as $(e_{IJ})_{KL} = \delta_{IK}\delta_{JL}$. The fermionic generators are then given by $e_{i,\bar{\jmath}}$ and $e_{\bar{\imath},j}$ where $i, j = 1, \ldots, N+1$ and $\bar{\imath}, \bar{\jmath} = N+2, \ldots, 2N+1$. Thus ϵ can be expanded as

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i,\bar{\jmath}} \epsilon^{i,\bar{\jmath}} e_{i,\bar{\jmath}} + \sum_{\bar{\imath},j} \epsilon^{\bar{\imath},j} e_{\bar{\imath},j}\,. \tag{2.16}$$

The gauge field configuration we are considering does not mix $e_{i,\bar{\jmath}}$ and $e_{\bar{\imath},j}$, so we can safely set $\epsilon^{\bar{\imath},j} = 0$. From the expression of L_0 in (A.25) and (A.26), we have

$$[L_0, e_{i,\bar{\jmath}}] = (-i + \bar{\jmath} - N - \tfrac{1}{2}) e_{i,\bar{\jmath}}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus the Killing spinor can be set as

$$\epsilon_{i,\bar{\jmath}} = \mathcal{R}(\rho)\hat{\epsilon}_{i,\bar{\jmath}}(x^+)\,, \qquad \mathcal{R}(\rho) = \exp((i - \bar{\jmath} + N + \tfrac{1}{2})\rho)\,. \tag{2.18}$$

For the x^+-dependence, we use the properties of generators as

$$[B_k(a_k, a_k), e_{i,\bar{\jmath}}] = a_k(-\delta_{i,k} e_{i+1,\bar{\jmath}} + \delta_{i,k+1} e_{i-1,\bar{\jmath}})\,, \tag{2.19}$$

$$[B_{\bar{k}}(a_{\bar{k}}, a_{\bar{k}}), e_{i,\bar{\jmath}}] = a_{\bar{k}}(-\delta_{\bar{\jmath},\bar{k}} e_{i,\bar{\jmath}+1} + \delta_{\bar{\jmath},\bar{k}+1} e_{i,\bar{\jmath}-1})\,. \tag{2.20}$$

For $N = 2M$, this yields

$$[B_{2l-1}(a_{2l-1}, a_{2l-1}), e_{2p-1,\bar{\jmath}} \pm i e_{2p,\bar{\jmath}}] = \pm i a_{2l-1} \delta_{l,p} (e_{2p-1,\bar{\jmath}} \pm i e_{2p,\bar{\jmath}})\,, \tag{2.21}$$

$$[B_{2\bar{l}}(a_{2\bar{l}}, a_{2\bar{l}}), e_{i,2\bar{p}} \pm i e_{i,2\bar{p}+1}] = \pm i a_{2\bar{l}} \delta_{\bar{l},\bar{p}} (e_{i,2\bar{p}} \pm i e_{i,2\bar{p}+1}) \tag{2.22}$$

for $l, p = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $\bar{l}, \bar{p} = M{+}1, M{+}2, \ldots, 2M$. The eigenvectors can be constructed as

$$E^{\eta_p, \bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} = e_{2p-1,2\bar{l}} + i\eta_p e_{2p,2\bar{l}} + i\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}(e_{2p-1,2\bar{l}+1} + i\eta_p e_{2p,2\bar{l}+1}) \tag{2.23}$$

with $\eta_p, \bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} = \pm 1$, whose eigenvalue is

$$[a_+, E^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}}] = i(\eta_p a_{2p-1} + \bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} a_{2\bar{l}}) E^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} \,. \tag{2.24}$$

We have another set of eigenvectors as

$$E^{\bar{\eta}_L}_{\bar{l}} = e_{N+1,2\bar{l}} + i\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} e_{N+1,2\bar{l}+1} \,, \qquad [a_+, E^{\bar{\eta}_L}_{\bar{l}}] = i\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} a_{2\bar{l}} E^{\bar{\eta}_L}_{\bar{l}} \tag{2.25}$$

for $\bar{l} = M+1, M+2, \ldots, 2M$. Therefore, the solutions to the Killing spinor equation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\rho)^{-1}\epsilon = &\sum_{p=1}^{M} \sum_{\bar{l}=M+1}^{2M} \sum_{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}=\pm 1} c^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} e^{-i(\eta_p a_{2p-1} + \bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} a_{2\bar{l}})x^+} E^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} \\ &+ \sum_{\bar{l}=M+1}^{2M} \sum_{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}=\pm 1} c^{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{\bar{l}} e^{-i\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} a_{2\bar{l}} x^+} E^{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{\bar{l}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

with constants $c^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}}, c^{\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{\bar{l}}$. In the same way, we have for N odd

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\rho)^{-1}\epsilon = &\sum_{p=1}^{M} \sum_{\bar{l}=M+1}^{2M-1} \sum_{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}=\pm 1} c^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} e^{-i(\eta_p a_{2p-1} + \bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}} a_{2\bar{l}-1})x^+} E^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^{M} \sum_{\eta_p=\pm 1} c^{\eta_p}_{p} e^{-i\eta_p a_{2p-1} x^+} E^{\eta_p}_{p} \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

with

$$E^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}} = e_{2p-1,2\bar{l}-1} + i\eta_p e_{2p,2\bar{l}-1} + i\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}(e_{2p-1,2\bar{l}} + i\eta_p e_{2p,2\bar{l}}) \,, \tag{2.28}$$

$$E^{\eta_p}_{p} = e_{2p-1,2N+1} + i\eta_p e_{2p,2N+1} \tag{2.29}$$

with constants $c^{\eta_p,\bar{\eta}_{\bar{l}}}_{p,\bar{l}}, c^{\eta_p}_{p}$.

If a part of supersymmetry is preserved, then the corresponding Killing spinors have to satisfy anti-periodic boundary condition around the ϕ-cycle. Here we would like to require the maximal number of supersymmetry, thus we should have $N(N+1)$ Killing spinors $\epsilon^{i,\bar{\jmath}}$ for all $i, \bar{\jmath}$. This leads to the condition that $a_{2l-1} = p_l$ with $p_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{2\bar{\jmath}} = q_{\bar{\jmath}} + 1/2$ with $q_{\bar{\jmath}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $N = 2M$, and $a_{2l-1} = p_l + 1/2$ with $p_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{2\bar{\jmath}-1} = q_{\bar{\jmath}}$ with $q_{\bar{\jmath}} \in \mathbb{Z}$

for $N = 2M - 1$. Notice that this condition coincides with the requirement that the holonomy matrix along the ϕ-cycle is

$$\mathrm{Hol}_\phi(A) = \exp\left(\oint A_\phi d\phi\right) = (-1)^N \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{sl}(N+1)} \otimes (-1)^{N-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{sl}(N)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{u}(1)} \tag{2.30}$$

up to similarity transformation. It is a center of the bosonic subalgebra $\mathrm{sl}(N+1) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N) \oplus \mathrm{u}(1)$. In general, the notion of singularity is not gauge invariant in higher spin gauge theory. Since the holonomy matrix is an gauge invariant operator, the trivial holonomy matrix suggests that our geometry is actually singularity free. In fact, by applying a gauge transformation as in (3.31) of [20], we can map the conical defect geometry in (2.11) to a smooth wormhole geometry.

We can also think of geometry with Killing spinors satisfying periodic boundary condition around the ϕ-cycle. Assuming the maximal number of Killing spinors, we have to set that $a_{2l-1} = p_l$ with $p_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{2\bar{\jmath}} = q_{\bar{\jmath}}$ for $N = 2M$ or $a_{2\bar{\jmath}-1} = q_{\bar{\jmath}}$ for $N = 2M - 1$ with $q_{\bar{\jmath}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The holonomy matrix along the ϕ-cycle is

$$\mathrm{Hol}_\phi(A) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{sl}(N+1)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{sl}(N)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{u}(1)} \tag{2.31}$$

up to similarity transformation. This implies that the geometry is singularity free, and again we can map the conical defect geometry into a smooth wormhole geometry by a gauge transformation.

The AdS space corresponds to

$$a_{2l-1} = M + 1 - l\,, \qquad a_{2\bar{\jmath}} = 2M - \bar{\jmath} + \tfrac{1}{2} \tag{2.32}$$

for $N = 2M$ and

$$a_{2l-1} = M + \tfrac{1}{2} - l\,, \qquad a_{2\bar{\jmath}-1} = 2M - \bar{\jmath} \tag{2.33}$$

for $N = 2M - 1$. Both lead to $M_N = 1/4$ in (2.12). For geometry with conical defect, we have a condition as

$$0 < M_N < \tfrac{1}{4}\ . \tag{2.34}$$

Let us check whether there are configurations satisfying this condition for small N cases, see also [32, 33]. For $N = 1, 2$, we can see that there is no such a solution. For $N = 3$, non-trivial solutions are

$$(a_1, a_3, a_5) = (\tfrac{3}{2}, \tfrac{3}{2}, 2), (\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}, 0), (\tfrac{1}{2}, 0, 0) \qquad M_3 = \tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{1}{12}, \tfrac{1}{24} \tag{2.35}$$

for anti-periodic case and

$$(a_1, a_3, a_5) = (p_1, 1, p_1), (1, 0, 0) \qquad M_3 = \tfrac{1}{6}, \tfrac{1}{6} \tag{2.36}$$

with $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for periodic case. Possibly there are more. For larger N, we can easily find out more solutions.

3 Smooth geometry with maximal supersymmetry

In the previous section, we have dealt with conical defects with $0 < M_N < 1/4$ for (2.12), and the solutions may be not physical outside the reason. However, we will later compare classical gravity solutions to primary states in a non-unitary region of dual CFT. Thus we do not need to focus on physical solutions and remove the restriction from now on. Furthermore, we move to the Euclidean model given by the gauge fields $A, \tilde{A}$ with taking complex values and $A^\dagger = -\tilde{A}$. In this section, we examine with this setup more generic solutions which are not included in the ansatz (2.14) or (2.15). In the next section, we will see that the map from these solutions to CFT primary states works very nicely as in the bosonic case [20, 21].

3.1 Killing spinors and holonomy matrix

Performing the Wick rotation to (2.8), we consider the gauge field configuration with

$$A = b^{-1}a_+ b dw + L_0 d\rho \,, \qquad \tilde{A} = -b a_- b^{-1} d\bar{w} - L_0 d\rho \,, \tag{3.1}$$

where $w = \phi + i\tau$ and $\bar{w} = \phi - i\tau$. Here we assume that a_+ and a_- are elements of $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ superalgebra with complex values and they can be diagonalized by some supermatrix. Moreover, we set $A^\dagger = -\tilde{A}$ and $b = \exp(\rho L_0)$.

As argued in [33], solutions to the killing spinor equation (2.13) may be written as

$$\epsilon(x) = \mathcal{P}\exp(-\int_{x_0}^{x} A_\mu dx^\mu)\hat{\epsilon}(x_0)\mathcal{P}\exp(\int_{x_0}^{x} A_\mu dx^\mu) \,. \tag{3.2}$$

The problem is to find out the gauge field configuration such that the maximal number of Killing spinors satisfy anti-periodic or periodic boundary condition around the ϕ-cycle. When going around the cycle, the factor becomes holonomy matrix as

$$\mathrm{Hol}_\phi(A) = \exp\left(\oint A_\phi d\phi\right) = S^{-1}\exp\left(2\pi\sum_{I=1}^{2N+1}\theta_I e_{II}\right) S \,, \tag{3.3}$$

where S is a supermatrix depending on ρ. Change the basis of spinor as

$$\epsilon(x_0) = S\hat{\epsilon}(x_0)S^{-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1}\sum_{\bar{\jmath}=N+2}^{2N+1}\epsilon^{l,\bar{\jmath}}e_{l,\bar{\jmath}} \,, \tag{3.4}$$

we can see that when the spinor with only $\epsilon^{l,\bar{\jmath}} \neq 0$ goes around the cycle the phase factor becomes

$$\exp\left(-2\pi\sum_{I=1}^{2N+1}\theta_I e_{II}\right) e_{l,\bar{\jmath}} \exp\left(2\pi\sum_{I=1}^{2N+1}\theta_I e_{II}\right) = \exp\left(-2\pi(\theta_l - \theta_{\bar{\jmath}})\right) e_{l,\bar{\jmath}} \,. \tag{3.5}$$

The phase factor should be -1 for all possible set of $(l, \bar{\jmath})$ when all Killing spinors satisfy the anti-periodic boundary condition. In the same way, the phase factor should be $+1$ for all $(l, \bar{\jmath})$ when all spinors satisfy the periodic boundary condition.

The condition of anti-periodicity for all Killing spinors is thus

$$\theta_l - \theta_{\bar{\jmath}} \in i(\mathbb{Z} + \tfrac{1}{2}) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $l, \bar{\jmath}$. Generic solutions are

$$\theta_l = i(p_l + \beta)\,, \qquad \theta_{\bar{\jmath}} = i(q_{\bar{\jmath}} + \tfrac{1}{2} + \beta) \tag{3.7}$$

with $p_l, q_{\bar{\jmath}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, the supertraceless condition of $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ reads

$$\beta = -\sum_{l=1}^{N+1} p_l + \sum_{\bar{\jmath}=N+2}^{2N+1} (q_{\bar{\jmath}} + \tfrac{1}{2})\,, \tag{3.8}$$

which is integer for $N = 2M$ and half-integer for $N = 2M - 1$. Thus we see

$$\theta_l = i\left(p'_l + \frac{1-(-1)^N}{4}\right)\,, \qquad \theta_{\bar{\jmath}} = i\left(q'_{\bar{\jmath}} + \frac{1+(-1)^N}{4}\right) \tag{3.9}$$

where $q'_l, p'_{\bar{\jmath}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is convenient to write down θ_L in terms of bosonic subalgebra $\mathrm{sl}(N+1) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N) \oplus \mathrm{u}(1)$ as

$$-i\theta_i = \tilde{l}^{(1)}_i + \rho^{(1)}_i + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N+1} = \tilde{r}^{(1)}_i - \frac{|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}|}{N+1} + \frac{N+2}{2} - i + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N+1}\,, \tag{3.10}$$

$$-i\theta_{N+1+j} = \tilde{l}^{(2)}_j + \rho^{(2)}_j + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N} = \tilde{r}^{(2)}_j - \frac{|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}|}{N} + \frac{N+1}{2} - j + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N}\,, \tag{3.11}$$

where $|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(a)}| = \sum_i \tilde{r}^{(a)}_i$ with $a = 1, 2$. The Weyl vectors $\rho^{(a)}_i$ are defined in (A.13) and (A.14). From the condition for β, we find that

$$\tilde{m} \in -N|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}| + (N+1)|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}| + N(N+1)\mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Holonomy matrix is now

$$\mathrm{Hol}_\phi(A) = e^{2\pi i(\frac{N}{2} + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N+1})}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{sl}(N+1)} \otimes e^{2\pi i(\frac{N+1}{2} - \frac{\tilde{m}}{N})}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{sl}(N)} \otimes e^{-2\pi i\frac{\tilde{m}}{N(N+1)}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{u}(1)} \tag{3.13}$$

up to similarity transformation. Notice that it is a center of bosonic subalgebra $\mathrm{sl}(N+1) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N) \oplus \mathrm{u}(1)$ with complex elements, thus the configurations considered should correspond to smooth geometries in some gauge choice.

Similarly for the periodic case, we need to assign

$$\theta_l - \theta_{\bar{\jmath}} \in i\mathbb{Z} \tag{3.14}$$

for all $l, \bar{\jmath}$, and solutions are

$$\theta_l = i(p_l + \beta) \, , \qquad \theta_{\bar{\jmath}} = i(q_{\bar{\jmath}} + \beta) \tag{3.15}$$

with $p_l, q_{\bar{\jmath}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The supertraceless condition leads

$$\beta = - \sum_{l=1}^{N+1} p_l + \sum_{\bar{\jmath}=N+2}^{2N+1} q_{\bar{\jmath}} \, , \tag{3.16}$$

which is also an integer number. Thus we may define

$$- i\theta_i = \tilde{l}_i^{(1)} + \rho_i^{(1)} + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N+1} = \tilde{r}_i^{(1)} - \frac{|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}|}{N+1} + \frac{N+2}{2} - i + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N+1} \, , \tag{3.17}$$

$$- i\theta_{N+1+j} = \tilde{l}_j^{(2)} + \rho_j^{(2)} + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N} = \tilde{r}_j^{(2)} - \frac{|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}|}{N} + \frac{N+1}{2} - j + \frac{\tilde{m}}{N} \, . \tag{3.18}$$

From the condition for β, we have

$$\tilde{m} \in -N|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}| + (N+1)|\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}| + N(N+1)(\mathbb{Z} + \tfrac{1}{2}) \, . \tag{3.19}$$

The holonomy matrix is

$$\text{Hol}_\phi(A) = e^{2\pi i \frac{\tilde{m}}{N+1}} \mathbf{1}_{\text{sl}(N+1)} \otimes e^{-2\pi i \frac{\tilde{m}}{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\text{sl}(N)} \otimes e^{-2\pi i \frac{\tilde{m}}{N(N+1)}} \mathbf{1}_{\text{u}(1)} \tag{3.20}$$

up to similarity transformation.

3.2 Asymptotically AdS geometry

In order to compare the supergravity with the dual CFT, we have to search solutions which approach to AdS space at $\rho \to \infty$. For higher spin gauge theory, we need to assign boundary condition also for higher spin fields, which can be expressed as [8]

$$(A - A_{\text{AdS}})|_{\rho \to \infty} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \, . \tag{3.21}$$

The gauge field configuration A_{AdS} corresponding to the AdS background is given by (3.1) with $a_+ = L_1$ and $a_- = -L_{-1}$. The condition is shown to be equivalent to the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction in [8], and in our case, the classical asymptotic symmetry under the condition is $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ super W_{N+1} algebra [7].

The conical geometry considered has higher spin charges associated with the W-algebra, and we would like to compute them in this subsection. In order to assign the asymptotic boundary condition to the gauge fields, it is convenient to decompose the sl$(N+1|N)$ elements by its sl(2) subalgebra. The decomposition depends on how we embed sl(2), and we have chosen the one in [7] such that

$$\text{sl}(N+1|N) = \text{sl}(2) \oplus (\oplus_{s=3}^{N+1} g^{(s)}) \oplus (\oplus_{s=1}^{N} g^{(s)}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\oplus_{s=1}^{N} g^{(s+1/2)}) \, , \tag{3.22}$$

where $g^{(s)}$ is the $(2s-1)$-dimensional representation of sl(2). Notice that the integer spin elements are even and the half-integer spin elements are odd with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of the superalgebra. With this decomposition, the generators may be given by

$$V_n^{(s)+} \ (s=2,3,\ldots,N+1)\,, \quad V_n^{(s)-} \ (s=1,2,\ldots,N)\,, \quad F_r^{(s)\pm} \ (s=1,2,\ldots,N) \tag{3.23}$$

where $|n| \leq s-1, |r| \leq s-1/2$. The embedded sl(2) is generated by $L_m = V_m^{(2)+}$ with $m = 0, \pm 1$. Some of the commutation relations may be found in appendix A.

In terms of these generators, the gauge field configuration satisfying the asymptotic AdS condition (3.21) can be set as

$$\begin{aligned} a_+(t+\theta) = L_1 + \frac{1}{\hat{k}}\Bigg(& \sum_{s\geq 2} \frac{1}{N_s^+} L_s^+(t+\theta) V_{-s+1}^{(s)+} + \sum_{s\geq 1} \frac{1}{N_s^-} L_s^-(t+\theta) V_{-s+1}^{(s)-} \\ & + \sum_{s\geq 1} \frac{1}{M_{s+1/2}^+} G_{s+1/2}^+(t+\theta) F_{-s+1/2}^{(s)+} + \sum_{s\geq 1} \frac{1}{M_{s+1/2}^+} G_{s+1/2}^-(t+\theta) F_{-s+1/2}^{(s)-} \Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

by utilizing suitable gauge transformation. Here we have defined

$$N_s^\pm = \mathrm{str}\,(V_{s-1}^{(s)\pm} V_{-s+1}^{(s)\pm})\,, \qquad M_{s+1/2}^\pm = \mathrm{str}\,(F_{s-1/2}^{(s)\pm} F_{-s+1/2}^{(s)\pm})\,. \tag{3.25}$$

At the boundary, the functions $L_s^\pm(\theta), G_{s+1/2}^\pm(\theta)$ act as generators of classical $\mathcal{N}=2$ super W_{N+1} algebra, see [7, 22, 23]. In particular, the energy momentum tensor comes from $L_2^+(\theta)$ and the central charge is

$$c = 12\hat{k}\epsilon_N = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \tag{3.26}$$

in terms of parameters in (2.5). Note that this value is the same as the one obtained for the pure gravity in [36]. The other generators are primary with respect to the energy momentum tensor.

As for our geometry, we assume the form of the gauge field as (3.1), where a_+ takes a value in constant $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ superalgebra. It is useful to define

$$T_n^{(s)\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(V_n^{(s)+} \pm V_n^{(s)-})\,, \qquad T_0^{(1)-} = V_0^{(1)-}\,, \qquad T_n^{(N+1)+} = V_n^{(N+1)+}\,, \tag{3.27}$$

where $s = 2,3,\ldots,N$. In this notation, $T_n^{(s)+}$, $T_n^{(s)-}$ $(s \geq 2)$ and $T_0^{(1)-}$ generate $\mathrm{sl}(N+1)$, $\mathrm{sl}(N)$ and u(1) bosonic subalgebras. Assigning the asymptotic AdS condition (3.21), the gauge field takes the form of

$$a_+(t+\theta) = L_1 + \sum_{s\geq 2} \frac{\hat{k}^{-s/2}}{t_+^{(s)}} v_+^{(s)} T_{-s+1}^{(s)+} + \sum_{s\geq 1} \frac{\hat{k}^{-s/2}}{t_-^{(s)}} v_-^{(s)} T_{-s+1}^{(s)-} \tag{3.28}$$

with

$$t_\pm^{(s)} = \mathrm{str}\,(T_{s-1}^{(s)\pm} T_{-s+1}^{(s)\pm}) \,. \tag{3.29}$$

The constant coefficients $v_\pm^{(s)}$ are related to eigenvalues θ_L in (3.3) by a gauge transformation, and they correspond to the charges of $\mathcal{N}=2$ super W_{N+1} algebra. Notice that the fermionic components are set to be zero in our gauge configurations. The above form with the normalization is particularly useful since we can just apply the result of [20] to the bosonic subalgebras. The u(1) charge can be easily read off as

$$v_-^{(1)} = -i\hat{k}^{1/2}\tilde{m} \tag{3.30}$$

by using the notation in appendix A. The other first few charges are [20]

$$\begin{aligned} v_+^{(2)} &= -\hat{k} C_2^+(\tilde{n}^{(1)}) \,, \\ v_+^{(3)} &= -i\hat{k}^{3/2} C_3^+(\tilde{n}^{(1)}) \,, \\ v_+^{(4)} &= \hat{k}^2 \left(C_4^+(\tilde{n}^{(1)}) - \frac{C_4^+(\rho^{(1)})}{(C_2^+(\rho^{(1)}))^2} (C_2^+(\tilde{n}^{(1)}))^2 \right) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_-^{(2)} &= \hat{k} C_2^-(\tilde{n}^{(2)}) \,, \\ v_-^{(3)} &= i\hat{k}^{3/2} C_3^-(\tilde{n}^{(2)}) \,, \\ v_-^{(4)} &= -\hat{k}^2 \left(C_4^-(\tilde{n}^{(2)}) - \frac{C_4^-(\rho^{(2)})}{(C_2^-(\rho^{(2)}))^2} (C_2^-(\tilde{n}^{(2)}))^2 \right) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$C_s^+(\tilde{n}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} (\tilde{n}_j^{(1)})^s \,, \qquad C_s^-(\tilde{n}^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\tilde{n}_j^{(2)})^s \tag{3.33}$$

with

$$\tilde{n}_j^{(a)} = \tilde{l}_j^{(a)} + \rho_j^{(a)} \,. \tag{3.34}$$

Notice that this expression holds both for anti-periodic and periodic cases.

In the previous subsection, we assumed that the supermatrix a_+ is diagonalizable. As discussed in [20], the supermatrix of the form (3.28) can de diagonalized by supermatrix corresponding to $\mathrm{sl}(N+1) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N)$ bosonic subalgebra only when all $\tilde{n}_j^{(a)}$ are distinguished for both $a=1,2$. Thus we need to require

$$\tilde{n}_1^{(1)} > \tilde{n}_2^{(1)} > \cdots > \tilde{n}_{N+1}^{(1)} \,, \qquad \tilde{n}_1^{(2)} > \tilde{n}_2^{(2)} > \cdots > \tilde{n}_N^{(2)} \,. \tag{3.35}$$

In this case the holonomy matrix can be labeled by two Young diagrams $\Lambda^{(a)}$ along with the u(1) charge $\tilde{m}$. In terms of parameters in (3.10), (3.11), (3.17) and (3.18), the Young diagram $\Lambda^{(a)}$ has $\tilde{r}_j^{(a)}$ boxes in the j-th row.

4 Relation to $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model

In [7] it was proposed that the $\mathcal{N}=2$ higher spin supergravity in [4] is dual to the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model (1.4)

$$\frac{\mathrm{su}(N+1)_k \oplus \mathrm{so}(2N)_1}{\mathrm{su}(N)_{k+1} \oplus \mathrm{u}(1)_{N(N+1)(k+N+1)}} \,, \tag{4.1}$$

whose central charge is

$$c = \frac{3Nk}{k+N+1} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

The massless sector of the supergravity is described by shs[λ]$\oplus$shs[λ] Chern-Simons theory, and the higher spin superalgebra shs[λ] can be truncated to sl$(N+1|N)$ at $\lambda = N+1$. With the duality, we identify the classical smooth geometry of sl$(N+1|N) \oplus$ sl$(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons theory considered in the previous section as a primary state in the Kazama-Suzuki model. We perform several checks of this identification. For the bosonic case, see [20, 21].

4.1 Relation to primary states

The states of the Kazama-Suzuki model (4.1) are labeled by $(\Lambda^{(1)}, \omega; \Lambda^{(2)}, m)$. Here $\Lambda^{(1)}, \Lambda^{(2)}$ are highest weights of su$(N+1)$, su(N) and the u(1) charge takes a value in $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{N(N+1)(k+N+1)}$. There are four representations of affine so$(2N)_1$ with $\omega = -1, 0, 1, 2$. Here $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = 2$ correspond to identity and vector representations, respectively, and the fermions are in the NS-sector with anti-periodic boundary condition. On the other hand, $\omega = -1$ and $\omega = 1$ correspond to co-spinor and spinor representations, and the fermions are in the R-sector with periodic boundary condition. The states of the coset are then obtained by the decomposition

$$\Lambda^{(1)} \otimes \omega = \oplus_{\Lambda^{(2)}, m} (\Lambda^{(1)}, \omega; \Lambda^{(2)}, m) \otimes \Lambda^{(2)} \otimes m \,. \tag{4.3}$$

From the condition that the decomposition is possible, we have a selection rule

$$\frac{|\Lambda^{(1)}|}{N+1} - \frac{|\Lambda^{(2)}|}{N} + \frac{m}{N(N+1)} + \frac{\omega}{2} = 0 \bmod 1 \,, \tag{4.4}$$

where $|\Lambda^{(a)}|$ is the number of boxes of Young diagram corresponding to $\Lambda^{(a)}$. See appendix A for the notations. In general, we should take case of field identification [37] as well, but it is not relevant for our purpose.[2]

---

[2] We should take the following identification among the states as $(\Lambda^{(1)}, \omega; \Lambda^{(2)}, m) \simeq (A_{N+1}\Lambda^{(1)}, \omega + 2; A_N \Lambda^{(2)}, m + k + N + 1)$, where A_M is an outer automorphism of su(M). Later we consider an analytic continuation on k, and the field identification does not make sense with an irrational k.

The conformal weight of the primary state is in the NS-sector

$$h(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega;\Lambda^{(2)},m) = n + \frac{\omega}{4} + \frac{1}{k+N+1}\left(C^{(1)}(\Lambda^{(1)}) - C^{(2)}(\Lambda^{(2)}) - \frac{m^2}{2N(N+1)}\right) , \quad (4.5)$$

where $C^{(a)}(\Lambda^{(a)})$ is the second Casimir in the representation $\Lambda^{(a)}$ of su$(N+1)$ for $a=1$ and su(N) for $a=2$. Here n denotes a computable integer number related to how $(\Lambda^{(2)},m)$ is embedded in $(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega)$. The u(1) charge is

$$q(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega;\Lambda^{(2)},m) = 2n' + \frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{m}{N+k+1} \quad (4.6)$$

with an integer n'. In the R-sector, we have

$$h(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega;\Lambda^{(2)},m) = n + \frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{k+N+1}\left(C^{(1)}(\Lambda^{(1)}) - C^{(2)}(\Lambda^{(2)}) - \frac{m^2}{2N(N+1)}\right) ,$$

$$q(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega;\Lambda^{(2)},m) = 2n' + \frac{N}{2} + \frac{\omega-1}{2} - \frac{m}{N+k+1} \quad (4.7)$$

with some integers n, n'.

We would like to compare these primary states to the classical solutions of sl$(N+1|N) \oplus$ sl$(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons theory, where the classical limit corresponds to the limit with large Chern-Simons level $\hat{k}$. It is also the same as the limit with large central charge c for the asymptotic symmetry algebra as in (3.26). Thus, we should take the large central charge limit with $c \to \infty$ but with N kept finite. This implies that we need to consider an analytic continuation of k to an unphysical value as

$$k = -(N+1) - \frac{3N(N+1)}{c} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}) . \quad (4.8)$$

The validity of the analytic continuation is discussed in [25], see also [12, 16] for bosonic cases. With this limit, the conformal weights and the u(1) charge become

$$h(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega;\Lambda^{(2)},m) = -\frac{c}{12\epsilon_N}\left(C^{(1)}(\Lambda^{(1)}) - C^{(2)}(\Lambda^{(2)}) - \frac{m^2}{2N(N+1)}\right) , \quad (4.9)$$

$$q(\Lambda^{(1)},\omega;\Lambda^{(2)},m) = \frac{c}{12\epsilon_N} m$$

for all choices of ω.

In the previous section, we found a set of smooth geometry preserving maximal number of fermionic symmetry. It is classified by the holonomy matrix with eigenvalues θ_L ($L = 1, 2, \ldots, 2N+1$), which are parametrized by two Young diagrams $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(a)}$ ($a = 1, 2$) and one integer $\tilde{m}$ as in (3.10), (3.11), (3.17) and (3.18). Our proposal is that we should identify the parameters as[3]

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(1)} \,, \qquad \tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)} = \Lambda^{(2)} \,, \qquad \tilde{m} = m \,. \tag{4.10}$$

Notice that the condition for $\tilde{m}$ (3.12) and (3.19) exactly reproduces the selection rule in (4.4). From the ADM mass of the geometry, we may read off the boundary conformal dimension from the classical geometry as (see (3.16) of [20])

$$h = -\frac{c}{6} M_N = h(\Lambda^{(1)}, \omega; \Lambda^{(2)}, m) - \frac{c}{24} \,, \tag{4.11}$$

where we have used

$$C^{(a)}(\Lambda^{(a)}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left[(l_i^{(a)} + \rho_i^{(a)})^2 - (\rho_i^{(a)})^2 \right] \tag{4.12}$$

for $a = 1, 2$. Here M_N is define in (2.12) and in terms of the holonomy matrix it is written as

$$M_N = -\frac{1}{4\epsilon_N} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N+1} \theta_l^2 - \sum_{\bar{\jmath}=N+2}^{2N+1} \theta_{\bar{\jmath}}^2 \right) \,. \tag{4.13}$$

In this way, we have seen that the boundary conformal dimension from the geometry reproduces the one of dual CFT in (4.9), where the shift $-c/24$ comes from the change of worldsheet geometry from the cylinder of boundary AdS to the complex plane. More detailed analysis on the comparison of charges will be given in the next subsection.

4.2 W-algebra charges

Comparing the charges of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super W_{N+1} algebra, we check the correctness of the identification between the smooth solutions of the gravity theory and the primary states of the CFT. The charges for the classical geometry have been computed in the previous section as (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32). In order to compute charges in the CFT side, it is convenient to use the fact that the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ model (4.1) by the Kazama-Suzuki construction [26, 27] can be described as the minimal model of $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ super W_{N+1} algebra [28, 38]. In the coset description (4.1) the descendants are obtained by the action of currents for affine algebras appearing in the coset to the primary states. On the other hand, in the minimal model description the descendants are obtained by the action of W-algebra generators to the states primary with respect to the W-algebra, see (4.32) and (4.33) below. The charges of W-algebra can be then read off from the action of zero modes of the W-algebra generators to these primary states. Review articles on W-algebra may be found in [39, 40].

---

[3] As discussed below, more suitable description of the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ model (4.1) is given by the minimal model of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super W_{N+1} algebra. In this terminology, only two of four choices of ω lead to states primary to the W-algebra. We should set $\omega = 1$ for the primary states in the NS-sector. We do not have a preferred choice in the R-sector, so we may use $\omega = -1$ or $\omega = 1$ depending on the situation.

First we focus on the NS-sector and then move to the R-sector. We introduce the super coordinate $Z = (z, \theta)$ with a Grassmanian variable θ and the super derivative $D = \partial_\theta + \theta\partial_z$. Furthermore, $2N$ superfields are written as $\Phi^j(Z) = \phi^j(z) + i\theta\psi^j(z)$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots 2N)$ with operator products

$$\phi^i(z)\phi^j(0) \sim -\delta_{i,j} \ln z \, , \qquad \psi^i(z)\psi^j(0) \sim \delta_{i,j} z^{-1} \, . \tag{4.14}$$

With the preparation we introduce a Lax operator by [41, 42]

$$\begin{aligned} L(Z) &= (a_0 D + i\Theta_{2N+1}(Z))(a_0 D + i\Theta_{2N}(Z)) \cdots (a_0 D + i\Theta_1(Z)) \\ &= (a_0 D)^{2N+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{2N+1} U_{\frac{j}{2}}(Z)(a_0 D)^{2N+1-j} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where $U_{j/2}(Z)$ $(j = 2, 3, \ldots, 2N + 1)$ are the generators of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super W_{N+1} algebra. Here $\Theta_j(Z) = (-1)^{j-1}(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) \cdot D\Phi(Z)$ $(\lambda_0 = \lambda_{2N+1} = 0)$, and the normal ordering is implicitly assumed when operators are inserted at the same position. Moreover, λ_j is the fundamental weight of sl$(N + 1|N)$, see appendix A. From this equation, we have

$$U_{\frac{j}{2}}(Z) = \zeta \sum_{1 \leq l_1 < \cdots < l_j \leq 2N+1} (-1)^{\sum_{p=1}^{j} l_p} (a_0 D + i\Theta_{l_j}) \cdots (a_0 D + i\Theta_{l_2})(i\Theta_{l_1}) \tag{4.16}$$

with $\zeta = -1$ for $j = 1, 2$ mod 4 and $\zeta = +1$ for $j = 0, 3$ mod 4. We may redefine

$$U_{p-1}(Z) = J_{p-1}(z) + i\theta[G^+_{p-\frac{1}{2}}(z) + G^-_{p-\frac{1}{2}}(z)] \, , \quad U_{p-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) = a_0[iG^-_{p-\frac{1}{2}}(z) + \theta T_p(z)] \, , \tag{4.17}$$

then $\{J_1, G^\pm_{3/2}, T_2\}$ generate the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal algebra as a subalgebra. For instance, $T \equiv T_2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial J_1$ corresponds to the energy momentum tensor with the central charge $c = 3N(1 - (N + 1)a_0^2)$. The parameter a_0 takes

$$a_0^2 = \frac{1}{N + k + 1} \sim -\frac{c}{12\epsilon_N} = -\hat{k} \tag{4.18}$$

for the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model in (4.1), and it is proportional to c in the limit we are interested in. The other fields are not primary with respect to the energy momentum tensor, and we need to modify the operators by using lower dimension operators. See, e.g, [43] for the explicit form of first few operators.

Let us consider a vertex operator

$$V_\Lambda(Z) = \exp(ia_0\Lambda \cdot \Phi(Z)) \, . \tag{4.19}$$

The $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model corresponds to the case where Λ takes a highest weight of $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ as

$$\Lambda = \sum_{l=1}^{2N} \Lambda_l \lambda_l \tag{4.20}$$

with non-negative integer Λ_l as shown in [28, 38]. The highest weight can be written in terms of bosonic subalgebra $\mathrm{sl}(N+1) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N) \oplus \mathrm{u}(1)$ through (A.16). The W-algebra charges $u_{j/2}$ can be read off from the operator product expansions as

$$U_p(Z_1) V_\Lambda(Z_2) \sim u_p(\Lambda) V_\Lambda(Z_2) Z_{12}^{-p} + \cdots \,, \tag{4.21}$$

$$U_{p-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) V_\Lambda(Z_2) \sim u_{p-\frac{1}{2}}(\Lambda) V_\Lambda(Z_2) \theta_{12} Z_{12}^{-p} + \cdots \,. \tag{4.22}$$

Here the dots denote less singular terms and $Z_{12} = z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1\theta_2$ and $\theta_{12} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. With the help of (4.16) and

$$(a_0 D_1 + i\Theta_j(Z_1)) V_\Lambda(Z_2) \sim a_0 [D_1 - (-1)^j (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) \cdot \Lambda \theta_{12} Z_{12}^{-1}] V_\Lambda(Z_2) + \cdots \,, \tag{4.23}$$

we can easily obtain the charges for J_1 and $T \equiv T_2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial J_1$ as

$$q = u_1 = -a_0^2 m \,, \qquad h = a_0^{-1} u_{\frac{3}{2}} + \tfrac{1}{2} u_1 = \frac{a_0^2}{2} (\Lambda + \rho) \cdot \Lambda \tag{4.24}$$

as expected. Here ρ is the Weyl vector for $sl(N+1|N)$ as in (A.12). For other charges, it is tedious but straightforward computations to obtain. For instance, the charge u_2 is computed as

$$a_0^{-4} u_2 = -\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (l_j^{(2)} + \rho_j^{(2)})^2 + \frac{N-1}{2N} m^2 + \frac{N-1}{2} m + \frac{N(N^2-1)}{24} \,. \tag{4.25}$$

The comparison with the gravity results in (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) is not possible yet since the definition of W-algebra generators is not the same between the gravity side and the CFT side. In particular, it is known that the naive definition of energy momentum tensor from the gravity theory in (3.24) does not includes the u(1) part, and we should take care of this fact as mentioned in [23] (see also [44]). Thus we should reorganize the generators of W-algebra so as to be primary with respect to the modified energy momentum tensor. For the first three terms, they are given as [23][4]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_1 &= J_1 \,, \\ \tilde{T}_2 &= T_2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial J_1 - \frac{J_1 J_1}{2N(1+N)a_0^2} \,, \\ \tilde{J}_2 &= J_2 + \frac{(1-N)a_0^2 \partial J_1}{2} + \frac{(1-N) J_1 J_1}{2N} - \frac{(N-1)a_0^2 \tilde{T}_2}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

---

[4] The relation to the notation in [23] is given by $J_1 = -J$, $T_2 = -T$, $J_2 = W_2^-$ and $a_0^2 = -a^2$.

for large a_0^2. One the other hand, the $\mathcal{N}=2$ duality suggests that[5]

$$J_s \leftrightarrow -\frac{2N+1}{4s-2} V^{(s)+}_{s-1} + \frac{1}{2} V^{(s)-}_{s-1}\,, \qquad T_s \leftrightarrow V^{(s)+}_{s-1} \tag{4.27}$$

for $s \geq 2$. Therefore, we can see the match of the first three charges of W-algebra as

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 v_-^{(1)} = u_1\,, \\ & v_+^{(2)} + v_-^{(2)} = h - \frac{(u_1)^2}{2N(N+1)a_0^2} - \frac{c}{24}\,, \\ & a_0^2 v_-^{(2)} = u_2 - \frac{(1-N)a_0^2 u_1}{2} + \frac{(1-N)(u_1)^2}{2N} \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

by properly choosing the relative normalizations.

Let us turn to the R-sector. In order to discuss this sector, it is useful to utilize the spectral flow symmetry of $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal algebra introduced in [45]. The algebra is invariant under the following transformation as

$$\begin{aligned} & J_1^\eta(z) = J_1(z) + \frac{c\eta}{3z}\,, \\ & G^{\pm,\eta}_{3/2}(z) = z^{\pm\eta} G^\pm_{3/2}(z)\,, \\ & T_2^\eta(z) = T_2(z) + \eta J_1(z) + \frac{c\eta^2}{6z^2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where η is a continuous parameter. If we set $\eta = 1/2$, then the transformation maps the NS-sector to the R-sector. It is argued that the spectral flow is generated by the operator [46]

$$\mathcal{U}_\eta(z) = \exp\left(-i\eta\sqrt{\frac{c}{3}}\varphi(z)\right)\,, \qquad J_1(z) = i\sqrt{\frac{c}{3}}\partial\varphi(z)\,. \tag{4.30}$$

However, the bosonic subsector of W-algebra generators defined in (3.24) from the gravity side decouple with the u(1) sector by definition. Therefore, with the basis, the bosonic generators are invariant under the spectral flow, and this implies that the charges in the R-sector match once the correspondence of charges is shown in the NS-sector.

4.3 Null vectors v.s. higher spin symmetry

As mentioned above, descendants in the theory based on the $\mathcal{N}=2$ super W_{N+1}-algebra are obtained by the action of the W-algebra generators to the primary states. Let us denote $|\Lambda\rangle$ as a primary state and the mode expansions of W-algebra generators as

$$W^{(s)a}(z) = \sum_r \frac{W_r^{(s)a}}{z^{r+h^{(s)a}}}\,, \tag{4.31}$$

---

[5] We use the expression in (5.15) of [30] with $\lambda = N+1$.

where $W^{(s)0}(z) = J_s(z)$, $W^{(s)\pm}(z) = G^{\pm}_{s+1/2}(z)$, $W^{(s)1}(z) = T_{s+1}(z)$ and $h^{(s)a}$ denote their conformal weights. The sum runs over $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ for bosonic operators and fermionic operators in the R-sector. For fermionic operators in the NS-sector, it runs over $r \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2$. The condition to be primary can be then written as

$$W^{(s)a}_r |\Lambda\rangle = 0 \, , \qquad r > 0 \, , \tag{4.32}$$

and the descendant states are generated as

$$|X\rangle = W^{(s_1)a_1}_{-r_1} W^{(s_2)a_2}_{-r_2} \cdots W^{(s_l)a_l}_{-r_l} |\Lambda\rangle \tag{4.33}$$

with $r_i > 0$. When a descendant satisfies the condition of primary, the state is a null vector and should be removed from the spectrum. In other words, by removing null vectors in a consistent way, we have a unitary model such as the $\mathbb{CP}^N$ Kazama-Suzuki model.

For our minimal model, there are the maximal possible number of null vectors from each state associated with the highest representation Λ of sl$(N+1|N)$ Lie superalgebra. In the NS-sector, "independent" null vectors are investigated in [38], and it was found that there are $2N$ fermionic null vectors[6] at level $\Lambda_I + 1/2$ ($I = 1, 2, \ldots 2N$) along with $2N - 1$ bosonic null vectors at level $\Lambda^{(1)}_i + 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$) and $\Lambda^{(2)}_j + 1$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$). It will be useful to notice that

$$\Lambda_{2j-1} = r^{(1)}_j - r^{(2)}_j - \Lambda_{2N} \, , \qquad \Lambda_{2j-2} = -r^{(1)}_j + r^{(2)}_{j-1} + \Lambda_{2N} \tag{4.34}$$

with

$$\Lambda_{2N} = \frac{|\Lambda^{(1)}|}{N+1} - \frac{|\Lambda^{(2)}|}{N} + \frac{m}{N(N+1)} \tag{4.35}$$

in terms of the bosonic subalgebra. As an example, let us see what happens for the chiral primary states with $h = q/2$. These states are labeled as [47]

$$\Lambda^{(1)}_j = \Lambda^{(2)}_j \ (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1) \, , \qquad m = \sum_{j=1}^{N} j \Lambda^{(1)}_j \, , \tag{4.36}$$

which implies

$$\Lambda_{2j-1} = 0 \tag{4.37}$$

for all j. Therefore, we have N independent null vectors at level $1/2$. One of them is given by the action of $(G^+_{3/2})_{-1/2}$, which arises from the definition of chiral primary.

---

[6] According to [38], fermionic null vectors appear at the level Λ_I above the operator of the form $\alpha_I \cdot \psi \exp(i\Lambda \cdot \phi)$, see (52) of the paper. However, we have considered the vertex operators of the form $\exp(i\Lambda \cdot \phi)$ as the primary operator in terms of the minimal model. Therefore, we count the level as $\Lambda_I + 1/2$ by adding the conformal dimension of ψ.

The others are generated by $N-1$ independent linear combinations of $(G^{+}_{s+1/2})_{-1/2}$ with $s=1,2,\ldots,N$. For the bosonic null vectors, we have

$$\Lambda^{(1)}_j = r^{(1)}_j - r^{(1)}_{j+1} \, , \qquad \Lambda^{(2)}_j = r^{(2)}_j - r^{(2)}_{j+1} \, . \tag{4.38}$$

It was pointed out in [21] that these null vectors should be identified as the higher spin symmetries of the smooth gauge field configuration dual to the primary state. When we perform the path integral of the gauge theory, we have to divide the directions of gauge symmetry, which corresponds to removing the null vectors. For both cases with anti-periodic and periodic Killing spinors, we can read off from (3.5) that the Killing spinors corresponding to fermionic higher spin symmetry have w-dependence as $\exp(-(\theta_l - \theta_{\bar{\jmath}})w)$. If we consider the spinors associated with $\epsilon^{\bar{\imath},j}$ in (2.16), then we have similarly the Killing spinors behaving as $\exp((\theta_j - \theta_{\bar{\imath}})w)$. Utilizing the parameters in (3.10), (3.11) and (3.34), we see that

$$-i(\theta_l - \theta_{N+1+j}) = \tilde{n}^{(1)}_i - \tilde{n}^{(2)}_j - \frac{\tilde{m}}{N(N+1)} \, . \tag{4.39}$$

Therefore, the negative mode number of the Killing spinor with $\epsilon^{j,N+1+j}$ or $\epsilon^{N+1+j+1,j}$ coincides with the level of fermionic null vector $\Lambda_I + 1/2$. The other negative mode numbers can be obtained by the shifted Weyl reflections of $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$, which are the same as those of bosonic subalgebra $\mathrm{sl}(N+1) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N)$. These additional Killing spinors should correspond to null vectors appearing as descendants of the independent null vectors as in the bosonic case [48, 21]. The maximally supersymmetric geometry also preserves the maximal number of bosonic higher spin symmetry, and the w-dependence is $\exp(-i(\tilde{n}^{(a)}_i - \tilde{n}^{(a)}_j)w)$ for $a=1,2$ as shown in [21]. Thus the negative mode number of the higher spin bosonic symmetry is given by $(n^{(a)}_i - n^{(a)}_j)$ with $i<j$, which becomes $\Lambda^{(a)}_i + 1$ for $j=i+1$.

From the relation between higher spin symmetry and null vectors, we can say that the geometry dual to the primary states of the minimal model should have the maximal number of higher spin symmetry. Moreover, we may obtain the one-loop partition function of the gravity theory from the relation to the CFT. The one-loop partition function of the CFT can be written as a sum of characters of representation $\Xi = (\Lambda^{(1)};\Lambda^{(2)},m)$ as

$$Z^{\text{CFT}}_{\text{1-loop}}(q) = \sum_{\Xi} |\mathrm{ch}^{\text{NS,R}}_{\Xi}(q)|^2 \, , \qquad \mathrm{ch}^{\text{NS,R}}_{\Xi}(q) = \mathrm{tr}_{\Xi}\, q^{L_0} \, . \tag{4.40}$$

Here the trace is over the states obtained by the action of W-algebra generators to the primary state with label Ξ modulo the null vectors in the NS-sector or in the R-sector. We expect that the one-loop partition function of the gravity partition function can be obtained following [20] as the sum over the contributions from each smooth geometry. See section 5 for some discussions.

5 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have studied conical defects in $\mathrm{sl}(N+1|N) \oplus \mathrm{sl}(N+1|N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory with the maximal number of fermionic higher spin symmetry, where both anti-periodic and periodic boundary conditions of Killing spinors can be chosen. The gauge field configuration then is parametrized by two sets of integer number. The holonomy matrix of the gauge field configuration is given by a center of bosonic subgroup up to similarity transformation, which implies that the conical defect can be mapped to a non-singular geometry. As in [20] we have extended the class of smooth geometry, which is now labeled by two Young diagrams $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(a)}$ with $a = 1, 2$ and an integer $\tilde{m}$. The smooth geometry is proposed to be dual to a primary state of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super W_{N+1} minimal model with large central charge c but finite N. The primary states of minimal model are also labeled by two Young diagrams $\Lambda^{(a)}$ and u(1) charge m along with ω indicating NS-sector or R-sector for the fermions. We identify the labels as $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(a)} = \Lambda^{(a)}$ and $\tilde{m} = m$. Moreover, the cases with anti-periodic and periodic Killing spinors are mapped to NSNS-sector and RR-sector of the CFT, respectively. This proposal is checked by comparing some W-algebra charges. Moreover, the selection rule in the CFT (4.4) is reproduced by requiring the maximal supersymmetry on the conical geometry. The null vectors of the CFT are identified as the higher spin symmetry of the smooth geometry.

Once we know the relation between the null vectors and the higher spin symmetry, we can guess the gravity partition function by following [21]. For the bosonic part of the gravity partition function, we can just use the result of [21] as

$$Z^{\mathrm{B}}_{\text{1-loop}}(\Xi) = \frac{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le N+1} |1 - q^{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_i - \tilde{n}^{(1)}_j}|^2 \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} |1 - q^{\tilde{n}^{(2)}_i - \tilde{n}^{(2)}_j}|^2}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - q^n|^{4N}} \,, \tag{5.1}$$

where we have also included the contribution from the u(1) part. For the fermionic part, we may have for the NSNS-sector as

$$Z^{\mathrm{NS}}_{\text{1-loop}}(\Xi) = \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 + q^{n-1/2}|^{4N}}{\prod_{1 \le i \le N+1} \prod_{1 \le j \le N} |1 + q^{|\tilde{n}^{(1)}_i - \tilde{n}^{(2)}_j - \tilde{m}/N(N+1)|}|^2} \,, \tag{5.2}$$

and for the RR-sector as

$$Z^{\mathrm{R}}_{\text{1-loop}}(\Xi) = \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 + q^{n}|^{4N}}{\prod_{1 \le i \le N+1} \prod_{1 \le j \le N} |1 + q^{|\tilde{n}^{(1)}_i - \tilde{n}^{(2)}_j - \tilde{m}/N(N+1)|}|^2} \,. \tag{5.3}$$

The one-loop partition function of the gravity theory is obtained by the product of bosonic and fermionic contributions as

$$Z_{\text{1-loop}}(\Xi) = Z^{\mathrm{B}}_{\text{1-loop}}(\Xi) Z^{\mathrm{NS,R}}_{\text{1-loop}}(\Xi) \,. \tag{5.4}$$

For example, the AdS background corresponds to the choice $\tilde{n}_j^{(a)} = \rho_j^{(a)}$ and $\tilde{m} = 0$ in the NSNS-sector, which leads to

$$Z_{\text{1-loop}}(0) = Z^{\text{B}}_{\text{1-loop}}(0) Z^{\text{NS}}_{\text{1-loop}}(0) = \prod_{s=1}^{N} \prod_{n=s}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 + q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^n} \right|^2 \prod_{s=2}^{N+1} \prod_{n=s}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^n} \right|^2 . \tag{5.5}$$

This is actually the same as the vacuum character of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ super W_{N+1} algebra. The gravity partition function may differ from the CFT one by the overall factor as in the bosonic case, see the end of section 6 in [21]. It is an important open problem to reproduce the above expression by directly computing one-loop determinants of the supergravity. Moreover, we should compare the gravity partition function with the CFT one, which may be possible by utilizing the expression in [29] or by generalizing the null vector analysis in [48] to our supersymmetric case. In particular, it is interesting to understand the structure of null vectors of the minimal model in the R-sector.

One of the motivation to study this semi-classical limit of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ duality is that we can have a AdS/CFT correspondence involving purely three dimensional Chern-Simons theory without any matter fields coupled unlike for the bosonic case. Without the matter fields, we may have a chance to proof the duality by the application of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. In order to see whether this is indeed the case, we would like to study the duality beyond the large c limit by examining $1/c$ corrections. It is also worth to study conical defects for so(N) holography [14, 15, 16] and for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ holography [17]. In particular, it was argued in [16] that the finite N effects for the so(N) holography are a bit more complex than the su(N) case. It would be also interesting to study black hole solutions in the higher spin supergravity and see the relation to the duality. See a review [19] for the bosonic case. Some higher spin black holes in the supergravity have been already constructed in [32, 33].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to T. Creutzig, R. Gopakumar, P. Rønne and T. Ugajin for useful discussions. The work of YH was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) from JSPS.

A sl($N + 1|N$) Lie superalgebra

We summarize here useful formulas on sl($N + 1|N$) Lie superalgebra.

A.1 Highest weight representations

The generators of sl$(N+1|N)$ Lie superalgebra can be described $((N+1)+N)\times((N+1)+N)$ supermatrices of the form

$$M=\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\,, \qquad \operatorname{str} M = \operatorname{tr} A - \operatorname{tr} D = 0\,, \tag{A.1}$$

where A, D are even elements and B, C are odd elements with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of superalgebra. The traceless part of A, D generate $\mathrm{sl}(N+1), \mathrm{sl}(N)$ bosonic subalgebra, respectively, and the centralizer of the bosonic subalgebra is u(1). The bosonic subalgebra is thus $\mathrm{sl}(N+1)\oplus\mathrm{sl}(N)\oplus\mathrm{u}(1)$.

The sl$(N+1|N)$ Lie superalgebra has a special property that we can choose a completely odd simple root system.[7] We introduce two orthogonal bases ε_i $(i=1,2,\ldots,N+1)$ and δ_i $(i=1,2,\ldots,N)$, which satisfy

$$\varepsilon_i\cdot\varepsilon_j=\delta_{i,j}\,, \qquad \delta_i\cdot\delta_j=-\delta_{i,j}\,. \tag{A.2}$$

Then the odd simple roots can be expressed as

$$\alpha_{2i-1}=\varepsilon_i-\delta_i\,, \qquad \alpha_{2i}=\delta_i-\varepsilon_{i+1} \tag{A.3}$$

for $i=1,2,\ldots,N$. Then positive roots are

$$\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}+\cdots+\alpha_j \tag{A.4}$$

with $i\leq j$, where the root is even (odd) when $i-j$ is even (odd). The fundamental weights are defined by

$$\alpha_i\cdot\lambda_j=\delta_{i,j}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

which can be expressed by the simple roots as

$$\lambda_{2i}=\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\cdots+\alpha_{2i-1}\,, \qquad \lambda_{2i-1}=\alpha_{2i}+\alpha_{2i+2}+\cdots+\alpha_{2N}\,. \tag{A.6}$$

As mentioned above, the sl$(N+1|N)$ superalgebra has $\mathrm{sl}(N+1)\oplus\mathrm{sl}(N)\oplus\mathrm{u}(1)$ bosonic subalgebra. The abelian factor u(1) is generated by

$$\nu=\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\lambda_{2i}-\lambda_{2i-1})\,, \tag{A.7}$$

---

[7] Such Lie superalgebras are given by sl$(N\pm1|N)$, osp$(2N\pm1|2N)$, osp$(2N|2N)$, osp$(2N+2|2N)$ and D$(2,1;\alpha)$ with $\alpha\neq0,\pm1$, see, e.g., [35].

whose norm is $\nu \cdot \nu = -N(N+1)$. The simple roots $\alpha_i^{(1)}$ and the fundamental weights $\lambda_i^{(1)}$ for sl$(N+1)$ subalgebra are

$$\alpha_i^{(1)} = \alpha_{2i-1} + \alpha_{2i} = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}\,, \tag{A.8}$$

$$\lambda_i^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{2i-1} (-1)^{j-1} \lambda_j + \frac{i}{N+1}\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \varepsilon_j - \frac{i}{N+1} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \varepsilon_j \tag{A.9}$$

with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. In the same way, the simple roots $\alpha_i^{(2)}$ and the fundamental weights $\lambda_i^{(2)}$ for sl(N) subalgebra are

$$\alpha_i^{(2)} = \alpha_{2i} + \alpha_{2i+1} = \delta_i - \delta_{i+1}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\lambda_i^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^{2i} (-1)^{j} \lambda_j - \frac{i}{N}\nu = -\sum_{j=1}^{i} \delta_j + \frac{i}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_j \tag{A.11}$$

with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$. The Weyl vector is

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \lambda_i = 2(\rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)})\,, \tag{A.12}$$

where the Weyl vectors $\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(2)}$ for sl$(N+1)$, sl(N) are

$$\rho^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \rho_i^{(1)} \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \left(\tfrac{N+2}{2} - i\right) \varepsilon_i\,, \tag{A.13}$$

$$\rho^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{(2)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i^{(2)} \delta_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\tfrac{N+1}{2} - i\right) \delta_i\,. \tag{A.14}$$

The highest weight of the representation is now expressed as

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \Lambda_i \lambda_i \tag{A.15}$$

with non-negative integers Λ_i. In terms of bosonic subalgebra, the highest weight may be expressed as

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Lambda_i^{(1)} \lambda_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Lambda_i^{(2)} \lambda_i^{(2)} + \frac{m}{N(N+1)}\nu \tag{A.16}$$

with

$$\Lambda_i^{(1)} = \Lambda_{2i} + \Lambda_{2i-1}\,, \qquad \Lambda_i^{(2)} = \Lambda_{2i} + \Lambda_{2i+1} \tag{A.17}$$

and

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (i\Lambda_{2i} - (N+1-i)\Lambda_{2i-1}) \, . \tag{A.18}$$

With the decomposition, the highest weight may be labeled by two Young diagrams corresponding to $\Lambda^{(a)} = \sum_j \Lambda_j^{(a)} \lambda_j^{(a)}$ with $a = 1, 2$ along with an integer m. The diagrams have $r_j^{(a)}$ boxes in the j-th low with

$$r_j^{(1)} = \sum_{i=j}^{N} \Lambda_j^{(1)} \, , \qquad r_j^{(2)} = \sum_{i=j}^{N-1} \Lambda_j^{(2)} \, . \tag{A.19}$$

In the orthogonal basis, the highest weights are decomposed as

$$\Lambda^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} l_j^{(1)} \varepsilon_j \qquad \Lambda^{(1)} = - \sum_{j=1}^{N} l_j^{(2)} \delta_j \tag{A.20}$$

with

$$l_j^{(1)} = r_j^{(1)} - \frac{|\Lambda^{(1)}|}{N+1} \, , \qquad l_j^{(2)} = r_j^{(2)} - \frac{|\Lambda^{(2)}|}{N} \, . \tag{A.21}$$

Here $r_{N+1}^{(1)} = r_N^{(2)} = 0$ and $|\Lambda^{(a)}|$ is the number of boxes in the corresponding Young diagram.

A.2 Generators

The generators of sl$(N+1|N)$ are given by

$$V_n^{(s)+} \; (s = 2, 3, \ldots, N+1) \, , \quad V_n^{(s)-} \; (s = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \, , \quad F_r^{(s)\pm} \; (s = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \tag{A.22}$$

with $|n| \leq s-1, |r| \leq s - 1/2$. We have utilized the principal embedding of osp$(1|2)$ into sl$(N+1|N)$ superalgebra, see [35] for instance. The embedded osp$(1|2)$ corresponds to $L_n = V_n^{(2)+}$ and $G_r = F_r^{(1)+}$, which satisfy

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} \, , \quad [L_m, G_r] = (\tfrac{1}{2}m - r)G_{m+r} \, , \quad \{G_r, G_s\} = 2L_{r+s} \, . \tag{A.23}$$

The (anti-)commutation relations to other generators are

$$\begin{gathered}
[L_m, V_n^{(s)\pm}] = (-n + m(s-1))V_{m+n}^{(s)\pm} \, , \quad [L_m, F_r^{(s)\pm}] = (-r + m(s - \tfrac{1}{2}))F_{m+n}^{(s)\pm} \, , \\
[G_{1/2}, V_m^{(s)+}] = -\tfrac{1}{2}(m - s + 1)F_{m+1/2}^{(s-1)+} \, , \quad [G_{1/2}, V_m^{(s)-}] = -2F_{m+1/2}^{(s)-} \, , \\
\{G_{1/2}, F_r^{(s-1)+}\} = 2V_{r+1/2}^{(s)+} \, , \quad \{G_{1/2}, F_r^{(s)-}\} = \tfrac{1}{2}(r - s + \tfrac{1}{2})V_{r+1/2}^{(s)-} \, .
\end{gathered} \tag{A.24}$$

Other (anti-)commutation relations can be found in [49].

It might be useful to express the generators L_m of sl(2) subalgebra in terms of supermatrix. We use

$$L_n = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} K_n^{N+1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & K_n^N \end{array}\right) , \tag{A.25}$$

with

$$K_0^M = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} M-1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & M-3 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & 3-M & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1-M \end{pmatrix} , \tag{A.26}$$

$$K_1^M = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & \\ \sqrt{M-1} & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & \sqrt{2(M-2)} & 0 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & \sqrt{|i(M-i)|} & 0 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 0 & \sqrt{M-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{A.27}$$

$$K_{-1}^M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{M-1} & 0 & & & & \\ & 0 & \sqrt{2(M-2)} & 0 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & 0 & \sqrt{|i(M-i)|} & 0 & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 0 & \sqrt{M-1} \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.28}$$

In particular, we find

$$\epsilon_N = \mathrm{str}\,(L_0 L_0) = \mathrm{tr}\,(K_0^{N+1} K_0^{N+1}) - \mathrm{tr}\,(K_0^N K_0^N) = \frac{N(N+1)}{4} . \tag{A.29}$$

For $J_o = V_0^{(1)-}$, we use

$$J_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} N\mathbf{1}_{(N+1)\times(N+1)} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & (N+1)\mathbf{1}_{N\times N} \end{array}\right) , \tag{A.30}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{M\times M}$ is the $M \times M$ identity matrix. The normalization is

$$t_-^{(s)} = \mathrm{str}(J_0 J_0) = -N(N+1) . \tag{A.31}$$

References

[1] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Massless higher spins and holography*, Nucl. Phys. B **644** (2002) 303 [Erratum-ibid. B **660** (2003) 403] [hep-th/0205131].

[2] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *AdS dual of the critical* $O(N)$ *vector model*, Phys. Lett. B **550** (2002) 213 [hep-th/0210114].

[3] M. A. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(*$_d$*)*, Phys. Lett. B **567** (2003) 139 [hep-th/0304049].

[4] S. F. Prokushkin and M. A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge interactions for massive matter fields in 3-D AdS space-time*, Nucl. Phys. B **545** (1999) 385 [hep-th/9806236].

[5] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *An* AdS_3 *dual for minimal model CFTs*, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 066007 [arXiv:1011.2986 [hep-th]].

[6] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *Minimal model holography*, arXiv:1207.6697 [hep-th].

[7] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida and P. B. Ronne, *Higher spin* AdS_3 *supergravity and its dual CFT*, JHEP **1202** (2012) 109 [arXiv:1111.2139 [hep-th]].

[8] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger and S. Theisen, *Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields*, JHEP **1011** (2010) 007 [arXiv:1008.4744 [hep-th]].

[9] M. Henneaux and S. -J. Rey, *Nonlinear* W_∞ *as asymptotic symmetry of three-dimensional higher spin anti-de Sitter gravity*, JHEP **1012** (2010) 007 [arXiv:1008.4579 [hep-th]].

[10] M. R. Gaberdiel and T. Hartman, *Symmetries of holographic minimal models*, JHEP **1105** (2011) 031 [arXiv:1101.2910 [hep-th]].

[11] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen and S. Pfenninger, *Asymptotic* W*-symmetries in three-dimensional higher-spin gauge theories*, JHEP **1109** (2011) 113 [arXiv:1107.0290 [hep-th]].

[12] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *Triality in minimal model holography*, JHEP **1207** (2012) 127 [arXiv:1205.2472 [hep-th]].

[13] M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, T. Hartman and S. Raju, *Partition functions of holographic minimal models*, JHEP **1108** (2011) 077 [arXiv:1106.1897 [hep-th]].

[14] C. Ahn, *The large* N *'t Hooft limit of coset minimal models*, JHEP **1110** (2011) 125 [arXiv:1106.0351 [hep-th]].

[15] M. R. Gaberdiel and C. Vollenweider, *Minimal model holography for $SO(2N)$*, JHEP **1108** (2011) 104 [arXiv:1106.2634 [hep-th]].

[16] C. Candu, M. R. Gaberdiel, M. Kelm and C. Vollenweider, *Even spin minimal model holography*, arXiv:1211.3113 [hep-th].

[17] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida and P. B. Ronne, *$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric higher spin holography on AdS_3*, arXiv:1209.5404 [hep-th].

[18] M. Gutperle and P. Kraus, *Higher spin black holes*, JHEP **1105** (2011) 022 [arXiv:1103.4304 [hep-th]].

[19] M. Ammon, M. Gutperle, P. Kraus and E. Perlmutter, *Black holes in three dimensional higher spin gravity: A review*, arXiv:1208.5182 [hep-th].

[20] A. Castro, R. Gopakumar, M. Gutperle and J. Raeymaekers, *Conical defects in higher spin theories*, JHEP **1202** (2012) 096 [arXiv:1111.3381 [hep-th]].

[21] E. Perlmutter, T. Prochazka and J. Raeymaekers, *The semiclassical limit of W_N CFTs and Vasiliev theory*, arXiv:1210.8452 [hep-th].

[22] M. Henneaux, G. Lucena Gomez, J. Park and S. -J. Rey, *Super-W_∞ asymptotic symmetry of higher-spin AdS_3 supergravity*, JHEP **1206** (2012) 037 [arXiv:1203.5152 [hep-th]].

[23] K. Hanaki and C. Peng, *Symmetries of holographic super-minimal models*, arXiv:1203.5768 [hep-th].

[24] C. Ahn, *The large N 't Hooft limit of Kazama-Suzuki model*, JHEP **1208** (2012) 047 [arXiv:1206.0054 [hep-th]].

[25] C. Candu and M. R. Gaberdiel, *Duality in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minimal Model Holography*, arXiv:1207.6646 [hep-th].

[26] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, *New $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories and superstring compactification*, Nucl. Phys. B **321** (1989) 232.

[27] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, *Characterization of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal models generated by coset space method*, Phys. Lett. B **216** (1989) 112.

[28] K. Ito, *Quantum Hamiltonian reduction and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ coset models*, Phys. Lett. B **259** (1991) 73.

[29] C. Candu and M. R. Gaberdiel, *Supersymmetric holography on AdS_3*, arXiv:1203.1939 [hep-th].

[30] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida and P. B. Ronne, *Three point functions in higher spin AdS_3 supergravity*, arXiv:1211.2237 [hep-th].

[31] H. Moradi and K. Zoubos, *Three-point functions in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin holography*, arXiv:1211.2239 [hep-th].

[32] H. S. Tan, *Exploring three-dimensional higher-spin supergravity based on $sl(N|N-1)$ Chern-Simons theories*, arXiv:1208.2277 [hep-th].

[33] S. Datta and J. R. David, *Supersymmetry of classical solutions in Chern-Simons higher spin supergravity*, arXiv:1208.3921 [hep-th].

[34] C. Peng, *Dualities from higher-spin supergravity*, arXiv:1211.6748 [hep-th].

[35] L. Frappat, P. Sorba and A. Sciarrino, *Dictionary on Lie superalgebras*, hep-th/9607161.

[36] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: An example from three-dimensional gravity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 207.

[37] D. Gepner, *Field identification in coset conformal field theories*, Phys. Lett. B **222** (1989) 207.

[38] K. Ito, *$\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal CP_n model*, Nucl. Phys. B **370** (1992) 123.

[39] A. Bilal, *Introduction to W algebras*, In *Trieste 1991, Proceedings, String theory and quantum gravity '91* 245-280 and CERN Geneva - TH. 6083 (91/04,rec.Jul.) 35 p

[40] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, *W symmetry in conformal field theory*, Phys. Rept. **223** (1993) 183 [hep-th/9210010].

[41] J. Evans and T. J. Hollowood, *Supersymmetric Toda field theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **352** (1991) 723 [Erratum-ibid. B **382** (1992) 662].

[42] S. Komata, K. Mohri and H. Nohara, *Classical and quantum extended superconformal algebra*, Nucl. Phys. B **359** (1991) 168.

[43] H. T. Ozer, *On the superfield realization of superCasimir WA_n algebras*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17** (2002) 317 [hep-th/0102203].

[44] M. Henneaux, L. Maoz and A. Schwimmer, *Asymptotic dynamics and asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional extended AdS supergravity*, Annals Phys. **282** (2000) 31 [hep-th/9910013].

[45] A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, *Comments on the* $\mathcal{N}=2$*,* $\mathcal{N}=3$*,* $\mathcal{N}=4$ *superconformal algebras in two-dimensions*, Phys. Lett. B **184** (1987) 191.

[46] W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, *Chiral rings in* $\mathcal{N}=2$ *superconformal theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **324** (1989) 427.

[47] D. Gepner, *Scalar field theory and string compactification*, Nucl. Phys. B **322** (1989) 65.

[48] M. Niedermaier, *Irrational free field resolutions for* $W(sl(n))$ *and extended Sugawara construction*, Commun. Math. Phys. **148** (1992) 249.

[49] E. S. Fradkin and V. Y. .Linetsky, *Supersymmetric Racah basis, family of infinite dimensional superalgebras,* $SU(\infty+1|\infty)$ *and related 2-D models*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6** (1991) 617.